



International Conference on Integrated Problem-Solving Approaches to Ensure Schoolchildren's Health Budapest, Hungary, 23-24 May 2019

#### Prague Intervention Study

B. Kotlík, H. Kazmarová, M. Mikešová, V. Vrbíková, L. Kuklová Centre for Health and the Environment, National Institute of Public Health Prague, Czech Republic





# Introduction Results and discussion

Conclusion



2



Task - description of the potential impact of transport on the indoor environment at schools and proposal / verification of possible measures.



#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE SCHOOL**



#### Main entrance



Courtyard

- The 5 storey school building was built from brick and stone in 1910 and has not undergone a complete reconstruction.
- The wiring, lighting, water pipes and classrooms have all been partially reconstructed.
- > Heating is central with radiators.
- Air conditioning and mechanical ventilation is installed in certain parts of the building only.

#### WHAT WE KNEW FROM WEEKLY MEASUREMENTS IN SCHOOLS



- 1. There was no problem with radon, metals and organic compounds at any **school**.
- 2. In the **ambient air** benzene, carbon monoxide and sulfur dioxide were no problems.
- 3. School excesses occurred in dust (especially  $PM_{10-2.5}$ ) and in (dis)comfort parameters, i.e. relative humidity, temperature and air exchange (CO<sub>2</sub> as indicator).
- 4. The influence of the **surrounding traffic** load was manifested by nitrogen oxides and dust PM<sub>2.5</sub> fraction and submicron fraction.



### **DUST IN INDOOR ENVIRONMENT**



From previous studies, it was clear that:

- The PM<sub>2.5-10</sub> coarse fraction comes mostly from children's activities in the area,
- Conversely, the submicron fraction PM<sub>1.0</sub> comes mostly from the ambient air
- And the PM<sub>1.0-2.5</sub> fraction basically represents both effects





# Introduction Results and discussion

Conclusion



### METHODIC

The study had three parts

- 1. Research and description of load intensity around the school
- 2. Long-term (80 days) parallel measurements in 12 classes

The sensors were placed in 12 classrooms in the school, limiting factors were the safety of children (and sensors) and availability of electricity.

3. Testing of proposed measures





#### SELECTED SCHOOL



This school is situated in an urban locality with heavy traffic load. (category 5, combination of local and central heating systems in the vicinity and traffic load 5 -10 thousand cars/24 hours.)



- $\checkmark$  On the busy road with tramlines
- ✓ 300 meters from the school is another major road, Patočkova Street, which connects the Prague circuit with the city center.
- Portals of the Strahov and Blanka tunnels are both located nearby (together more than 85 thousand car/24 hrs.)

#### SITUATION AROUND THE SCHOOL





#### **NEIGHBORING TRAFFIC INTENSITY**



10:00

11:00

12:00

13:00



The high traffic load culminates just at the time of the arrival of the children at school (7 - 8 am) and is further increased throughout the teaching period.

14:00

17:00

weekend

weekdays

16:00

15:00

#### RESULTS



#### Long term measurement in 12 classes

(from 1<sup>st</sup> of November 2018 to 20<sup>th</sup> of January 2019)



#### PROBLEMS AND RELATED QUESTIONS



Problems:

- 1. Ensuring communication and fluent data transfer
- 2. Data validation (blackouts, children's creativity ....)
- 3. Sensors lifetime / sensor faults (dust measurement)

Questions:

Representativeness of measurement

- a. Space description (?)
- b. Values in individual classes x average for the whole school



### PROPOSAL OF POSSIBLE MEASURES



We knew that there was not possible to influence the surrounding traffic load. Therefore, we focused solely on possible measures in the classrooms.

Basic rules for ventilation, thermal climate and cleaning

- 1. In the morning, **before the pupils arrive**, ventilate through the corridor through the door (open the courtyard window in the corridor).
- 2. Further up to 10 am ventilate this way at least 2x during each lesson.
- 3. From 10 am ventilate 2x during each lesson already through windows to the Bělohorská street.
- 4. Each break wentilate through the door to the corridor.
- 5. During the teaching at least 2x check the temperature in the class, keep the temperature between 20 24 °C.
- 6. Only **wet cleaning** of the board!
- 7. Children must use only school shoes!
- 8. Cleaning every day after classes end
- only **wet** and with the **minimum** amount of detergent required.
- wiping furniture, benches and window sills, wiping the floor
- vacuuming the carpet (if in the classroom) windows must be open

## VERIFICATION OF THE IMPACT OF POSSIBLE MEASURES



#### 14 daily comparisons of values in classes with different modes - nitrogen oxides



## VERIFICATION OF THE IMPACT OF POSSIBLE MEASURES



## 14 daily comparisons of values of dust, CO<sub>2</sub>, temperature and humidity



For  $CO_2$ , dust and temperature it ... works, in the case of relative humidity the situation is complicated by the low humidity in the outdoor air



# Introduction Results and discussion

Conclusion



#### CONCLUSIONS





#### TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD

#### CONCLUSIONS



An 80-day air quality measurement was conducted in 12 classes.

In indoor air, application of regime recommendations (cleaning, ventilation) led to a **reduction** of  $CO_2$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$  dust fraction and to optimize room temperature.

No effect was observed for nitrogen oxides.

Conversely, in the case of relative humidity, ventilation through the windows in the classroom during winter **could be** counterproductive.

Outdoor air quality now cannot be influenced.





International Conference on Integrated Problem-Solving Approaches to Ensure Schoolchildren's Health Budapest, Hungary, 23-24 May 2019

#### **THANK YOU**

B. Kotlík, H. Kazmarová, M. Mikešová, V. Vrbíková, L. Kuklová Center for Health and Environmental Hygiene, National Institute of Public Health Prague, Czech Republic

